



## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE  
P.O. BOX 1450  
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22313-1450  
[www.uspto.gov](http://www.uspto.gov)

Paper No. 10

POLIT & ERICKSON, LLC  
3333 WARRENVILLE ROAD, SUITE 520  
LISLE, IL 60532

In re Application of  
Mark R. Atkins :  
Application No. 09/939,144 :  
Filed: August 27, 2001 :  
Attorney Docket No. 6380P0010US :

## COPY MAILED

NOV 13 2003

## OFFICE OF PETITIONS

DECISION GRANTING PETITION  
UNDER 37 CFR 1.137(b)

This is a decision on the petition, filed October 20, 2003, which is being treated as a petition under 37 CFR 1.137(b) to revive the instant nonprovisional application for failure to timely notify the U.S. Patent and Trademark (USPTO) of the filing of an application in a foreign country, or under a multinational treaty that requires publication of applications eighteen months after filing. *See* 37 CFR 1.137(f).

The petition is **GRANTED**.

Petitioner states that the instant nonprovisional application is the subject of an application filed in an eighteen month publication country on August 15, 2003. However, the USPTO was unintentionally not notified of this filing within 45 days subsequent to the filing of the subject application in an eighteen month publication country.

In view of the above, this application became abandoned pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 122(b)(2)(B)(iii) and 37 CFR 1.213(c) for failure to timely notify the Office of the filing of an application in a foreign country or under a multilateral international agreement that requires publication of applications 18 months after filing.

A petition to revive an application abandoned pursuant to 35 U.S.C. 122(b)(2)(B)(iii) for failure to notify the USPTO of a foreign filing must be accompanied by:

- (1) the required reply which is met by the notification of such filing in a foreign country or under a multinational treaty;
- (2) the petition fee as set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(m); and
- (3) a statement that the entire delay in filing the required reply from the due date of the reply until the filing of a grantable petition was unintentional.

The instant petition has been found to be in compliance with 37 CFR 1.137(b)/(f). However, while the statement of unintentional delay does not comply with the rule, the statement presented will be construed as meaning that the entire delay in filing the required reply [notification of foreign filing under 35 U.S.C. § 122(b)(2)(B)(iii)] until the filing of a grantable petition was unintentional." Accordingly, the failure to timely notify the USPTO of a foreign or international filing within 45 days after the date of filing of such foreign or international application as provided by 35 U.S.C. § 122(b)(2)(B)(iii) and 37 CFR 1.213(c) is accepted as having been unintentionally delayed.

The previous Request and Certification under 35 U.S.C. § 122(b)(2)(B)(i) has been rescinded. A Notice Regarding Rescission of Nonpublication Request which sets forth the projected publication date of February 19, 2004 accompanies this decision on petition.

Any inquiries concerning this decision may be directed to Sherry D. Brinkley at (703) 305-9220.

This application is being returned to Technology Center Art Unit 3742 for consideration of the response filed August 4, 2003 to the July 18, 2003 Office communication.

*Sherry D. Brinkley*  
Sherry D. Brinkley  
Petitions Examiner  
Office of Petitions  
Office of the Deputy Commissioner  
for Patent Examination Policy

ATTACHMENT: Notice Regarding Rescission of Nonpublication Request